



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/429,174	10/28/1999	JUNG-CHIH HUANG	2139	5616
7.	590 04/20/2004		EXAM	INER
DONALD E SCHREIBER A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION			BROWN, CHRISTOPHER J	
POST OFFICE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KINGS BEAC	H, CA 96143-2926		2134 /6 DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• ,•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/429,174	HUANG ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>	Christopher J Brown	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any examed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cand	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	ed amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	f to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			l and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	'S:					
Claim(s) allowed:			·			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	pproved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	, , , , ,	Matthew : MATTHEW ! PRIMARY E Art Unit	SMITHERS EXAMINER			



)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument of the security of the system is not incorporated in the claims of the invention. Secure is a relative term, it cannot be argued that a reference containing a "secure" system is not secure. One cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejection is based on a combination of references.